

## Fiscal Note 2009 Biennium

			_		
Bill #	HB0754		Title: General	lly revise MEPA	
<b>D</b> : C	I.B. ((B.11		G. J. J. J.	1 1	
Primary Sp	onsor: Barrett, Debby		Status: As Intro	oduced	
	nificant Local Gov Impact	☐ Needs to be inc	_	Technical Concerns	
	uded in the Executive Bud	get   Significant Long	g-Term Impacts	Dedicated Revenue	Form Attached
E		FISCAL : FY 2008 <u>Difference</u>	SUMMARY FY 2009 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2010 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2011 <u>Difference</u>
Expenditures: General Fund		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Revenue:					
General Fund Net Impact-General Fund Balance		\$0 \$0	<del>\$0</del> \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Net Impac	t-General Fund Dalai	<u>φυ</u>	<del></del>	<b>90</b>	ΨΟ
FISCAL ANALYSIS					
Assumption	ons:	1150112			
There is no	o fiscal impact to the	state.			
that rea an env (e.g., I have n acting propos this ar "project sponsor 2. The later that the sponsor that th	quires an environment ironmental review in DNRC for sales, excluding the permit in their regulatory coing to grant a permit gument would succept sponsor" to express or.	project sponsor" is an ental review. Since HI certain instances when the hanges, and easement its or authorization. Be apacities, it is possible application must prepared, the argument constructions of the property of the argument construction. The property of the prope	B 754 retains the recent they are not apply is), the term "project ecause the definition le to argue that HB pare an environmental uld be avoided alto ory agency acting in should also include	quirement for state ving for permits of t sponsor" include a does not exclude 754 requires that al review. While gether by amend its regulatory cap	e agencies to prepare other authorizations es state agencies that e regulatory agencies a regulatory agency it is not probable that ing the definition of pacity is not a project
Sponse	or's Initials	Date	Budget Director	's Initials	Date